

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
18/788,560	01/24/97	YAMAZAKI		S	0756-1626		
-	MM21/0531	\neg	EXAMINER				
IXBEY FRIED 010 CORPORA		& FERGUSON	'	NADAV, C)		
UITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ICLEAN VA 22	102		'	2811			

DATE MAILED: 05/31/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)

Yamazaki et al.

Examiner

ORI NADAV

Group Art Unit

2811



THI	PERIO	D FOR RESPONS	E: [check only a) or b)]	,					
	a) 🗍	expires	months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection	١.				
	22	expires either three r is later. In no event, rejection.	months from the mailing dat , however, will the statutory	e of the final rejection, period for the respons	, or on th se expire	he mailing date a later than six n	of this Advisory nonths from the	Action, we date of the	hichever ne final
	date on	which the response,	be obtained by filing a petitic the petition, and the fee ha tension and the correspondir he originally set shortened s	ve been filed is the dat on amount of the fee.	te of the Anvexte	response and a ension fee pursu	lso the date for uant to 37 CFR	the purpos	ses of
	Appella period f	nt's Brief is due t for response set fo	wo months from the day orth above, whichever is	te of the Notice of As later). See 37 CF	Appeal R 1.19	filed on 1(d) and 37 C	CFR 1.192(a).	(or wit	hin any
Ap _l	olicant's is NOT	s response to the deemed to place	final rejection, filed on the application in condi	Feb 16, 2000 tion for allowance:	has be	een considere	d with the fol	lowing ef	ffect,
X	The pro	posed amendmer	nt(s):						
		•	filing of a Notice of App	eal and an Appeal	Brief.				
	X will	not be entered b	ecause:						
	X	they raise new iss	sues that would require	further consideratio	n and/o	or search. (Se	ee note belov	v).	
		they raise the issu	ue of new matter. (See	note below).					
		they are not deen issues for appeal.	ned to place the applicat	ion in better form f	or appe	eal by materia	illy reducing o	or simplify	ing the
		they present addi	tional claims without ca	ncelling a correspor	nding nu	umber of final	lly rejected cl	aims.	
	NOT	E: The new limi	itations of an active mat	rix display device h	aving p	olurality of pix	els and a per	ipheral ci	rcuit, as
			nim 78, warrant further o						
	□ Ap	plicant's response	e has overcome the follo	wing rejection(s):					
	Newly separa	proposed or ame	ended claims mendment cancelling the	non-allowable clair		would b	e allowable i	f submitte	ed in a
		fidavit, exhibit or owance because:	request for reconsidera	tion has been consid	dered b	out does NOT	place the app	olication i	n condition
		fidavit or exhibit aminer in the fina	will NOT be considered at rejection.	because it is not dir	ected S	SOLELY to iss	sues which w	ere newly	y raised by
X	For pu	rposes of Appeal,	, the status of the claim	s is as follows (see	attache	ed written exp	planation, if a	iny):	
	Claims	allowed: None				<u> </u>			·
	Claims	objected to: Noi	ne						
	Claims	rejected: <u>78-15</u>	7						
	The pr	oposed drawing o	correction filed on	[⊡has	has not be	en approved	by the Ex	caminer.
	Note t	he attached Infor	mation Disclosure State	ment(s), PTO-1449	, Paper	No(s)	 	-	7
	Other						(and	1/rosmo
							Supervisory	n Thomas Patent Exa By Center 2	miner 800